

To: Sarri, Kristen[[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Crowell, Brad[Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]
From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Wed 6/19/2013 11:25:55 PM
Subject: Social Cost of Carbon

Just making sure you guys saw the letter referenced in the below story.

GOP senators slam 'significant change' to 'social cost' of carbon

By Zack Colman - 06/19/13 05:06 PM ET

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Republicans told administration officials they're "troubled" by a recent change to the way agencies calculate benefits from carbon regulations.

The lawmakers say they're concerned the higher "social cost of carbon" authorized by the Obama administration will be used to justify more aggressive greenhouse gas emission rules.

"This is a significant change to an already highly controversial estimate, and as such requires transparency, open debate, and an adherence to well-understood and previously agreed-upon rules," the GOP senators, led by committee ranking member David Vitter (R-La.), wrote to agency chiefs at the Energy Department, White House Office of Management and Budget and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a [letter](#) publicized Wednesday.

The administration [quietly raised the figure](#) — which assigns a monetary value to health, property and other damage associated with carbon pollution — in May to \$36 per ton of carbon dioxide emitted, up from \$22.

The interagency panel cited new information on extreme weather, sea-level rise, agricultural production and other items as reasons for boosting the cost.

The GOP senators requested responses on the process behind revising the social cost of carbon by July 2.

The updated estimate, in essence, increases the benefits of potential carbon regulations. Experts

contend that signals President Obama is ready to undertake more ambitious climate measures.

Heather Zichal, the president's climate and energy adviser, said such actions would be coming in weeks.

She said at a Wednesday event in Washington, D.C., that the administration would first focus on finalizing proposed emissions rules for new coal-fired power plants. The EPA missed an April deadline for enacting the standards.

Those prospects concerned the Environment and Public Works Republicans.

"As you are aware, the SCC [social cost of carbon] estimate is crucial to the Administration's climate change agenda because the higher the number, the more benefits can be attributed to costly environmental regulations and standards," they wrote.

They have previously requested more information from the EPA about what data it uses to craft its air- and water-pollution rules, which Republicans oppose as economically burdensome.

They said the administration's decision to raise the social cost of carbon meant getting that information was all the more necessary.

"In addition to real and ongoing concerns about the lack of openness and transparency throughout this Administration, we are troubled by any characterization of the reworked interagency estimate as relatively minor," they said.

Proponents of greenhouse gas curbs, meanwhile, are quickly using the social cost of carbon revision to try and bolster their efforts.

Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) told The Hill Wednesday that he wants his draft carbon tax legislation reviewed in light of the new figure.

Whitehouse and Rep. Henry Waxman (D-Calif.) in March floated a draft bill to impose carbon fees on industrial emissions sources like refineries and power plants.

The Rhode Island Democrat said Wednesday that he's hoping to get the bill reviewed by the Congressional Budget Office or the Joint Committee on Taxation using the new figure.

--Ben Geman contributed to this report.

--This report was updated at 5:29 p.m.

Read more: <http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/e2-wire/306645-gop-senators-slam-significant-change-to-social-cost-of-carbon#ixzz2WhtIITIJ>

Follow us: [@thehill](#) on Twitter | [TheHill](#) on Facebook

Jess Maher

Special Assistant to the President

White House Office of Legislative Affairs

Main Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Direct Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]; Wegman, Lydia[Wegman.Lydia@epa.gov]; Lamson, Amy[Lamson.Amy@epa.gov]; Ashley, Jackie[Ashley.Jackie@epa.gov]

From: Mackay, Cheryl

Sent: Tue 4/2/2013 6:12:42 PM

Subject: FW: Revisions to Sen. Vitter, Cong. Hall letters

[Vitter 2013-03-04 response cover letter to 2814 draft of March 29 1130am ms.docx](#)

[Vitter 2013-03-04 response attachment to 2814 draft 3 29 13 1130am ms.docx](#)

[Hall 2012-12-13 response cover letter to 0639 draft of March 29 1130am ms.docx](#)

[Hall 2012-12-13 response attachment to 0639 draft 3 29 13 1130am ms.docx](#)

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Let me know if you have questions.

Cheryl

From: Laity, Jim [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, April 02, 2013 1:48 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Cc: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** Menter, Jessica; Schwab, Margo
Subject: FW: Revisions to Sen. Vitter, Cong. Hall letters

Cheryl: Attached are consolidated comments from OIRA and OSTP. Call if you would like to discuss.

Margo will be back in the office tomorrow, so it would be better to wait until then for any follow-up discussion. But Bruce is in today if you would like to touch bases this afternoon.

Jim Laity

NRE Branch, OIRA

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

To: Wegman, Lydia[Wegman.Lydia@epa.gov]; Lamson, Amy[Lamson.Amy@epa.gov]; Ross, Mary[Ross.Mary@epa.gov]; Askew, Wendel[Askew.Wendel@epa.gov]; Luben, Tom[Luben.Tom@epa.gov]; Hassett-Sipple, Beth[Hassett-Sipple.Beth@epa.gov]; Noonan, Jenny[Noonan.Jenny@epa.gov]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]; Garbow, Avi[Garbow.Avi@epa.gov]; Ashley, Jackie[Ashley.Jackie@epa.gov]
Cc: Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Fri 3/29/2013 5:09:27 PM
Subject: RE: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Margo replied saying she'd review and get back to me on Monday, so stay tuned for more work on this then. Thanks everyone and have a great weekend!

From: Wegman, Lydia
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:50 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl; Lamson, Amy; Ross, Mary; Askew, Wendel; Luben, Tom; Hassett-Sipple, Beth; Noonan, Jenny; Minoli, Kevin; Garbow, Avi; Ashley, Jackie
Cc: Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: RE: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Thanks for letting us know Cheryl. (b) (5)

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:34 PM
To: Lamson, Amy; Ross, Mary; Askew, Wendel; Luben, Tom; Hassett-Sipple, Beth; Noonan, Jenny; Minoli, Kevin; Garbow, Avi; Wegman, Lydia; Ashley, Jackie
Cc: Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: FW: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

FYI

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:26 PM
To: 'Schwab, Margo' [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Margo and Bruce,

I just left a voice message for Margo on this. Attached are our revised drafts of the responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data. Thank you for your patience as we revised these. (b) (5)
(b) (5) Please give me a call after you've had the chance to review and discuss internally.

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Fri 3/29/2013 5:08:20 PM
Subject: RE: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Thanks. Also, I don't know if you had the chance to open the email from Margo but it looks like she is going to review this even when on vacation and said she would get back to us on Monday, so that is good.

From: Beauvais, Joel
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 1:06 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: Re: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Yes. Yesterday he said we cld talk today but I've sent a couple emails and haven't heard back. Will keep trying.

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:30:51 PM
To: Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: FW: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

I just got a bounce-back from Margo saying she is on leave from (b) (6) Joel, maybe you can raise this when you speak with Dom? For my part, I will try getting in touch with Bruce and Jess to ask them to help move this forward in her absence.

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:26 PM
To: 'Schwab, Margo'; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Cc: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Margo and Bruce,

I just left a voice message for Margo on this. Attached are our revised drafts of the responses to

Hall and Vitter on PM data. Thank you for your patience as we revised these. (b) (5)
(b) (5) Please give
me a call after you've had the chance to review and discuss internally.

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Mackay, Cheryl[Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]; Lamson, Amy[Lamson.Amy@epa.gov]; Ross, Mary[Ross.Mary@epa.gov]; Askew, Wendel[Askew.Wendel@epa.gov]; Luben, Tom[Luben.Tom@epa.gov]; Hassett-Sipple, Beth[Hassett-Sipple.Beth@epa.gov]; Noonan, Jenny[Noonan.Jenny@epa.gov]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]; Garbow, Avi[Garbow.Avi@epa.gov]; Ashley, Jackie[Ashley.Jackie@epa.gov]
Cc: Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Wegman, Lydia
Sent: Fri 3/29/2013 4:49:49 PM
Subject: RE: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Thanks for letting us know Cheryl. (b) (5)

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:34 PM
To: Lamson, Amy; Ross, Mary; Askew, Wendel; Luben, Tom; Hassett-Sipple, Beth; Noonan, Jenny; Minoli, Kevin; Garbow, Avi; Wegman, Lydia; Ashley, Jackie
Cc: Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: FW: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

FYI

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:26 PM
To: 'Schwab, Margo' Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Cc: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Margo and Bruce,

I just left a voice message for Margo on this. Attached are our revised drafts of the responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data. Thank you for your patience as we revised these. (b) (5)
(b) (5) Please give me a call after you've had the chance to review and discuss internally.

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Schwab, Margo [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Stebbins, Michael J. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Laity, Jim [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Jones, Franca [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Mancini, Dominic J. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Beauvais, Joel [Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]; Ganesan, Arvin [Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Fri 3/29/2013 4:45:14 PM
Subject: RE: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Monday would be great. Thanks Margo.

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:42 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl; [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Stebbins, Michael J.; Laity, Jim; Jones, Franca; Mancini, Dominic J.
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: RE: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Is Monday ok? Several folks here are on leave today, including me, though I will look at it remotely today, as time permits.

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:26 PM
To: Schwab, Margo; Rodan, Bruce
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Margo and Bruce,

I just left a voice message for Margo on this. Attached are our revised drafts of the responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data. Thank you for your patience as we revised these. (b) (5)

(b) (5) Please give me a call after you've had the chance to review and discuss internally.

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Mackay, Cheryl[Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov];
Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; Stebbins, Michael
J. Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; Laity, Jim; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; Jones,
Franca; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; Mancini, Dominic J.; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy; Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov];
Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Schwab, Margo
Sent: Fri 3/29/2013 4:42:29 PM
Subject: RE: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Is Monday ok? Several folks here are on leave today, including me, though I will look at it remotely today, as time permits.

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, March 29, 2013 12:26 PM
To: Schwab, Margo; Rodan, Bruce
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: revised drafts: responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data

Margo and Bruce,

I just left a voice message for Margo on this. Attached are our revised drafts of the responses to Hall and Vitter on PM data. Thank you for your patience as we revised these. (b) (5)

(b) (5) Please give me a call after you've had the chance to review and discuss internally.

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Fri 3/22/2013 6:28:19 PM
Subject: RE: Vitter/Smith etc letters

Can you send me the call in info? I'll make sure I'm on! Thanks.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 02:34 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Menter, Jessica; Maher, Jess
Subject: FW: Vitter/Smith etc letters

Hey guys. See below. This about a Vitter letter and a less specific House letter, that was addressed to EPA and OMB. (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5) Can you please weigh in with staff to put this review on a tight timeline?

Thanks so much.

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:11 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: Vitter/Smith etc letters
Importance: High

Hi Arvin, See below from OMB. They are requesting an additional week. Maybe this is something you can raise with Chris? Thanks.

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:07 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Thanks. We look forward to seeing them. I will set up a call for Friday or Monday, (b) (5)
(b) (5) We request an additional week to make sure everyone is on board. Thanks. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [<mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 12:04 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

We hope to have drafts of the Vitter/Smith and Hall/Harris/Smith letters ready to share with you sometime tomorrow. We will need to have your final comments by March 29. To help the process along, we thought it might be helpful to have a meeting to go over our drafts on Friday or Monday. Would you be able to help set up such a meeting on your end, and make sure the right people from OIRA and OSTP are involved? I can do the same for the EPA side.

Thanks!

Cheryl

From: Schwab, Margo [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Our policy folks are asking what the time line is for us seeing a draft of EPA's letter; (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 11:19 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: Re: House Science letter

Ok. Thanks for checking. I will get back to you once (b) (5)

(b) (5)


From: "Schwab, Margo" [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: 02/06/2013 01:54 AM GMT
To: Cheryl Mackay
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

Following up on our phone conversation, (b) (5)
(b) (5)



I hope this covers it! After you have had a chance to review this, please give me a call and we can discuss our response(s).

Thanks,
Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

----- Forwarded by Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US on 01/14/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Woods, Clint" <Clint.Woods@mail.house.gov>, Tara.Rothschild@mail.house.gov
Cc: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/08/2012 01:03 PM
Subject: response to letter from Chairman Harris requesting CSAPR data

Clint and Tara,

Please see the attached. The first attachment is our cover letter. The remaining attachments consist of data sets from Harvard and NYU. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thank you for your patience.

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

(See attached file: Harris CL.pdf)

(See attached file: Response from Harvard Univ.pdf)(See attached file: harvard_6cities_0309121.xlsx)

(See attached file: NYU letter 03 29 12.pdf)(See attached file: ACS82_98.xlsx)(See attached file: SO4.FINAL.xlsx)

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Thur 3/21/2013 2:24:39 PM
Subject: RE: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 1:14 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Thanks for this. I'll circulate internally,

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 11:33 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hey Arvin,

Attached are our edits. Let us know if you have any questions and just a reminder that (b)
(b) (5)

Thanks!

Jessica

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 1:58 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Menter, Jessica
Subject: FW: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hi Kris and Jess,

See the attached proposed response to Vitter on Reg Agenda.

Thoughts or edits?

Thanks.

From: Kime, Robin
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 12:44 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Goo, Michael
Subject: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hi,

Attached is the incoming letter and most current draft response. Just let me know if you need anything else.

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Cc: Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]
From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Wed 3/20/2013 7:14:05 PM
Subject: FW: House Science letter

Just FYI from OMB.

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

We're open from 3-5pm on Friday. (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:26 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Margo, I'll have to get back to you on the request for more time, but in the meantime if you can send me a few times Monday or Friday that would work for you guys for a call, we can start to get that set up. Thanks!

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:07 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Thanks. We look forward to seeing them. I will set up a call for Friday or Monday, (b) (5)
(b) (5) We request
an additional week to make sure everyone is on board. Thanks. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 12:04 PM

To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

We hope to have drafts of the Vitter/Smith and Hall/Harris/Smith letters ready to share with you sometime tomorrow. We will need to have your final comments by March 29. To help the process along, we thought it might be helpful to have a meeting to go over our drafts on Friday or Monday. Would you be able to help set up such a meeting on your end, and make sure the right people from OIRA and OSTP are involved? I can do the same for the EPA side.

Thanks!

Cheryl

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Our policy folks are asking what the time line is for us seeing a draft of EPA's letter; (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 11:19 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: Re: House Science letter

Ok. Thanks for checking. I will get back to you once (b) (5)

From: "Schwab, Margo" [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: 02/06/2013 01:54 AM GMT
To: Cheryl Mackay


Subject: RE: House Science letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

Following up on our phone conversation, (b) (5)
(b) (5)



I hope this covers it! After you have had a chance to review this, please give me a call and we can discuss our response(s).

Thanks,
Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

----- Forwarded by Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US on 01/14/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Woods, Clint" <Clint.Woods@mail.house.gov>, Tara.Rothschild@mail.house.gov
Cc: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/08/2012 01:03 PM
Subject: response to letter from Chairman Harris requesting CSAPR data

Clint and Tara,

Please see the attached. The first attachment is our cover letter. The remaining attachments consist of data sets from Harvard and NYU. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thank you for your patience.

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

(See attached file: Harris CL.pdf)

*(See attached file: Response from Harvard Univ.pdf)(See attached file:
harvard_6cities_0309121.xlsx)*

*(See attached file: NYU letter 03 29 12.pdf)(See attached file: ACS82_98.xlsx)(See attached file:
SO4.FINAL.xlsx)*

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Wed 3/20/2013 6:33:44 PM
Subject: RE: Vitter/Smith etc letters

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:33 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: Vitter/Smith etc letters

Will do.

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:11 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: Vitter/Smith etc letters
Importance: High

Hi Arvin, See below from OMB. They are requesting an additional week. Maybe this is something you can raise with Chris? Thanks.

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:07 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Thanks. We look forward to seeing them. I will set up a call for Friday or Monday, (b) (5)
(b) (5) We request
an additional week to make sure everyone is on board. Thanks. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 12:04 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

We hope to have drafts of the Vitter/Smith and Hall/Harris/Smith letters ready to share with you sometime tomorrow. We will need to have your final comments by March 29. To help the process along, we thought it might be helpful to have a meeting to go over our drafts on Friday or Monday. Would you be able to help set up such a meeting on your end, and make sure the right people from OIRA and OSTP are involved? I can do the same for the EPA side.

Thanks!

Cheryl

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Our policy folks are asking what the time line is for us seeing a draft of EPA's letter; (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 11:19 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: Re: House Science letter

Ok. Thanks for checking. I will get back to you once (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: "Schwab, Margo" [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: 02/06/2013 01:54 AM GMT
To: Cheryl Mackay
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM

To: Schwab, Margo

Subject: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

Following up on our phone conversation, (b) (5)

(b) (5)



I hope this covers it! After you have had a chance to review this, please give me a call and we can discuss our response(s).

Thanks,
Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

----- Forwarded by Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US on 01/14/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Woods, Clint" <Clint.Woods@mail.house.gov>, Tara.Rothschild@mail.house.gov
Cc: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/08/2012 01:03 PM
Subject: response to letter from Chairman Harris requesting CSAPR data

Clint and Tara,

Please see the attached. The first attachment is our cover letter. The remaining attachments consist of data sets from Harvard and NYU. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thank you for your patience.

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

(See attached file: Harris CL.pdf)

(See attached file: Response from Harvard Univ.pdf)(See attached file: harvard_6cities_0309121.xlsx)
(See attached file: NYU letter 03 29 12.pdf)(See attached file: ACS82_98.xlsx)(See attached file: SO4.FINAL.xlsx)

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Wed 3/20/2013 6:10:45 PM
Subject: Vitter/Smith etc letters

Hi Arvin, See below from OMB. They are requesting an additional week. Maybe this is something you can raise with Chris? Thanks.

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:07 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Thanks. We look forward to seeing them. I will set up a call for Friday or Monday. (b) (5)
(b) (5) We request
an additional week to make sure everyone is on board. Thanks. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 12:04 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

We hope to have drafts of the Vitter/Smith and Hall/Harris/Smith letters ready to share with you sometime tomorrow. We will need to have your final comments by March 29. To help the process along, we thought it might be helpful to have a meeting to go over our drafts on Friday or Monday. Would you be able to help set up such a meeting on your end, and make sure the right people from OIRA and OSTP are involved? I can do the same for the EPA side.

Thanks!

Cheryl

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Our policy folks are asking what the time line is for us seeing a draft of EPA's letter; (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 11:19 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: Re: House Science letter

Ok. Thanks for checking. I will get back to you once (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: "Schwab, Margo" [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Sent: 02/06/2013 01:54 AM GMT

To: Cheryl Mackay

Subject: RE: House Science letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

Following up on our phone conversation, (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)



I hope this covers it! After you have had a chance to review this, please give me a call and we can discuss our response(s).

Thanks,
Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

----- Forwarded by Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US on 01/14/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Woods, Clint" <Clint.Woods@mail.house.gov>, Tara.Rothschild@mail.house.gov
Cc: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/08/2012 01:03 PM
Subject: response to letter from Chairman Harris requesting CSAPR data

Clint and Tara,

Please see the attached. The first attachment is our cover letter. The remaining attachments consist of data sets from Harvard and NYU. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thank you for your patience.

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

(See attached file: Harris CL.pdf)

*(See attached file: Response from Harvard Univ.pdf)(See attached file:
harvard_6cities_0309121.xlsx)*
*(See attached file: NYU letter 03 29 12.pdf)(See attached file: ACS82_98.xlsx)(See attached file:
SO4.FINAL.xlsx)*

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tue 3/19/2013 3:33:09 PM
Subject: RE: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter
Response to Senator Vitter Reg Agenda final Draft with edits.docx

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Hey Arvin,

Attached are our edits. Let us know if you have any questions and just a reminder that (b) (5)

Thanks!

Jessica

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 1:58 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Menter, Jessica
Subject: FW: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hi Kris and Jess,

See the attached proposed response to Vitter on Reg Agenda.

Thoughts or edits?

Thanks.

From: Kime, Robin
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 12:44 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Goo, Michael
Subject: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hi,

Attached is the incoming letter and most current draft response. Just let me know if you need anything else.

To: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Thur 2/21/2013 4:39:01 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

(b) (5) We will need to be fast on our first topic.

From: Vaught, Laura [mailto:Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:33 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sure.

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:32 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sure. (b) (5)

(b) (5) Laura, I know you're in the Labs meeting at 1230, think you can join us at say 1245?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:48 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Thanks Arvin! (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:30 AM

To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Look forward to chatting at 1230.

Call in

Code:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM

To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM

To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM

To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM

To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

✉ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson

Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST

To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught

Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>

To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM

Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson

Energy & Environment Reporter

POLITICO

cell: 571-235-0423

@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM

Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar

February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Thur 2/21/2013 4:38:56 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

(b) (5)



From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:32 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sure. (b) (5)

(b) Laura, I know you're in the Labs meeting at 1230, think you can join us at say 1245?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:48 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Thanks Arvin! (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:30 AM

To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Look forward to chatting at 1230.

Call in:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Code:

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM

To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call
to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Note: As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need

assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Thur 2/21/2013 2:48:18 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Thanks Arvin! (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:30 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Look forward to chatting at 1230.

Call in:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Code:

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:J. Menter@epa.gov] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:J. Menter@epa.gov] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:>] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:>] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM

To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM

Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Note: As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar

February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named

image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Cc: Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 8:27:24 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Great, thanks Arvin.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 3:27 PM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Kime, Robin
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

My mistake. That works for me. We'll talk at 1230 tomorrow. Same call in. Ill send something around

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 3:23:32 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

1230 works for us at OMB tomorrow. Want me to try and find other times?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Menter, Jessica
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Would you mind wrangling people on times that work on your end? You guys can't do 1230, right?

From: Toomajian, Phil [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:46 PM
To: Lue, Thomas; Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Likewise.

From: Lue, Thomas

Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40 PM

To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica

Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM

To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call
to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.](#)

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.](#)

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 8:23:32 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

1230 works for us at OMB tomorrow. Want me to try and find other times?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Menter, Jessica
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Would you mind wrangling people on times that work on your end? You guys can't do 1230, right?

From: Toomajian, Phil [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:46 PM
To: Lue, Thomas; Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Likewise.

From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** code: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) , but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on](#)

their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA's decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Toomajian, Phil
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 7:46:19 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Likewise.

From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?


From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

 Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,

network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Minoli, Kevin
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 8:04:26 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

I can probably make it work.

Kevin S. Minoli

Acting Principal Deputy General Counsel

Office of General Counsel

Main Office Line: 202-564-8064

Direct Dial: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:50 PM
To: Lue, Thomas; Menter, Jessica; Kristen Sarri; Jessica A. Maher; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ok. Will get back on timing.

From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40:04 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** code: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.](#)

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA's decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.](#)

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 7:50:38 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry again! A v last minute meeting got scheduled (b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:50 PM
To: Lue, Thomas; Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ok. Will get back on timing.

From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40:04 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call

to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter

POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]; Toomajian, Phil[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 7:40:04 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM

To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM

To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM

To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM

To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

✉ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson

Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST

To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught

Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>

To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM

Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM

Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy];
Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom,
Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 7:33:51 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** code: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on](#)

their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA's decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 8:56:36 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

3pm works for us. Thanks much Arvin.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5.

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** code: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>

To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA's decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.](#)

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 8:54:18 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5). Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy, code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [[mailto:](#) Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [[mailto:](#) Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?


From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

 Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can

rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy];
Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom,
Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 8:33:45 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call
to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference
line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 6:39:13 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

That works. Thanks much Arvin.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests


What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

 Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO

cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Maher, Jess[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 6:23:41 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

 Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy's jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA's decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Maher, Jess[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 6:20:52 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Note: As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Cc: Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Fri 2/15/2013 10:02:34 PM
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.](#)

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA's decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.](#)

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Cc: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Fri 2/15/2013 9:50:29 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

[Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process]

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Maher, Jess[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Cc: Menter, Jessica[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Fri 2/15/2013 9:46:57 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM

Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Note: As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named

image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Wed 2/13/2013 8:30:21 PM
Subject: RE: Call at 7?

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Sure, 8pm.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2013 3:29 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Maher, Jess; Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Call at 7?

Jess, what's ideal for you? 8?

▼ "Sarri, Kristen" ---02/13/2013 03:27:11 PM---I am literally in meetings until 6pm and then have to make calls bc of hearing. I can do anytime fro

From: "Sarri, Kristen" <[REDACTED]>
To: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Maher, Jess" <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/13/2013 03:27 PM
Subject: Re: Call at 7?

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

I am literally in meetings until 6pm and then have to make calls bc of hearing. I can do anytime from 7pm or later.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2013 03:26 PM
To: Maher, Jess
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov <Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Call at 7?

Given how much we need you here, we can be super flexible. We can do later in the pm too.

▼ "Maher, Jess" ---02/13/2013 03:24:13 PM---That's not ideal, but I will do my best to be available whenever Kris can fit it in. (b) (5)

From: "Maher, Jess" <[REDACTED]>
To: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Sarri, Kristen" <[REDACTED]>
Date: 02/13/2013 03:24 PM
Subject: RE: Call at 7?

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

That's not ideal, but I will do my best to be available whenever Kris can fit it in.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Thanks.

Jess

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2013 1:12 PM

To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: Call at 7?

Can we all get on a call at 7 to discuss EPW QFRs and the issue that we have all briefly discussed?

thanks.

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Wed 2/13/2013 8:27:06 PM
Subject: Re: Call at 7?

I am literally in meetings until 6pm and then have to make calls bc of hearing. I can do anytime from 7pm or later.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2013 03:26 PM
To: Maher, Jess
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov <Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Call at 7?

Given how much we need you here, we can be super flexible. We can do later in the pm too.

▼ "Maher, Jess" ---02/13/2013 03:24:13 PM---That's not ideal, but I will do my best to be available whenever Kris can fit it in. (b) (5)

From: "Maher, Jess" [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
To: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, "Sarri, Kristen" [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy], Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/13/2013 03:24 PM
Subject: RE: Call at 7?

That's not ideal, but I will do my best to be available whenever Kris can fit it in. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Thanks.
Jess

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2013 1:12 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Call at 7?

Can we all get on a call at 7 to discuss EPW QFRs and the issue that we have all briefly discussed?

thanks.

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Wed 2/13/2013 8:24:07 PM
Subject: RE: Call at 7?

That's not ideal, but I will do my best to be available whenever Kris can fit it in.

(b) (5)

Thanks.
Jess

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2013 1:12 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Vaught.Laura@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Call at 7?

Can we all get on a call at 7 to discuss EPW QFRs and the issue that we have all briefly discussed?

thanks.

To: Ganesan, Arvin[Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]; Bennett, Barbara[Bennett.Barbara@epa.gov]; Froehlich, Maryann[Froehlich.Maryann@epa.gov]; Baylson, Joshua[Baylson.Joshua@epa.gov]; Bloom, David[Bloom.David@epa.gov]
From: Walsh, Ed
Sent: Tue 1/22/2013 1:48:14 PM
Subject: Re: Letter on Sequestration Impacts

We did not get the letter yet. Just a heads up from rita and Rachael. We expect it this week.
Thanks

Ed

From: Arvin Ganesan
Sent: 01/22/2013 08:37 AM EST
To: Barbara Bennett; Ed Walsh
Subject: Fw: Letter on Sequestration Impacts

Morning!
Did we get this letter? Who is coordinating it on your end?

Thanks.

From: "Sarri, Kristen" [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: 01/22/2013 01:29 PM GMT
Cc: "Berman, Lindsey R." [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; "Neill, Allie" [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; "Eelman, Emily" [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Subject: Letter on Sequestration Impacts

I hope everyone had a long celebratory weekend. On Friday, you or your budget office might have received a letter from Chairwoman Mikulski requesting information on the impacts of your agency's operations, employees, contractors, and State and local economies.

(b) (5)



Sincerely, Kris

Cc: Berman, Lindsey R [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Neill, Allie [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy];
Eelman, Emily [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Tue 1/22/2013 1:29:07 PM
Subject: Letter on Sequestration Impacts

I hope everyone had a long celebratory weekend. On Friday, you or your budget office might have received a letter from Chairwoman Mikulski requesting information on the impacts of your agency's operations, employees, contractors, and State and local economies.

(b) (5)



Sincerely, Kris

To: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wed 7/24/2013 12:36:22 PM
Subject: Fw: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter
[Vitter.pdf](#)

From: Sarri, Kristen <**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**>
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2013 8:35:32 AM
To: Secreto, James; Menter, Jessica; Brown, Calla; Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Crowell, Brad; Mignone, Bryan
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

In case we did not email yesterday.

From: Secreto, James [mailto:James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:15 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; Maher, Jess
Cc: Crowell, Brad; Mignone, Bryan
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Hi Jessica,

Do you have a copy of the final version of the letter as transmitted to the Committee?

All the best,

Jim

From: Crowell, Brad
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 9:57 AM
To: 'Menter, Jessica'; 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Secreto, James; Mignone, Bryan; Barron, Alex
(Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph;
Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; 'Maher, Jess'
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 9:55 AM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Crowell, Brad; Secreto, James; Mignone, Bryan; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter
Importance: High

Last call for edits. Thank you.

From: Brown, Calla
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 6:58 PM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov; James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov; Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

All-

Attached please find the revised draft response to Sen. Vitter which OMB will send on behalf of all recipients tomorrow. Please send any edits to Jessica Menter (cc-ed) by **10 am**.

Thanks for your help with this quick turnaround.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2013 06:42 PM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin' <Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov' <James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov>; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Barron.Alex@epa.gov

<Barron.Alex@epa.gov>; McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov) <McGartland.Al@epa.gov>; Barron, Alex <Barron.Alex@epa.gov>; Goffman, Joseph <Goffman.Joseph@epa.gov>; Dunham, Sarah <Dunham.Sarah@epa.gov>
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Neill, Allie; Eelman, Emily; Brown, Calla
Subject: Vitter SCC Letter

All,

Wanted to touch base regarding the Vitter SCC letter.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We will get you all a draft tomorrow with a quick turnaround.

Thanks much in advance,

Jessica



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

ADMINISTRATOR
OFFICE OF
INFORMATION AND
REGULATORY AFFAIRS

July 18, 2013

The Honorable David B. Vitter
Ranking Member
Committee on Environment
and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Vitter:

Thank you for your letter to Office of Management and Budget Director Sylvia Burwell, Department of Energy Secretary Ernest Moniz, Environmental Protection Agency Acting Administrator Robert Perciasepe, and Assistant Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Federal Government's recent revised assessment of the social cost of carbon (SCC). I am responding on their behalf.

The SCC refers to the values used to calculate the monetary costs and benefits of incremental changes in carbon emissions in a given year. The SCC includes, for example, changes in net agricultural productivity and human health, property damage from increased flood risk, energy system costs, and the value of lost ecosystem services due to climate change. Many public and private institutions place a value on carbon emissions to inform decision making. For example, Shell Oil evaluates all projects with a carbon price of \$40 per ton. These updated estimates are also consistent with the values used by other governments, such as the United Kingdom and Germany.

During the previous Administration and at the beginning of this Administration, agencies used a range of SCC values when evaluating the costs and benefits of rules. In 2009, the Administration launched an interagency process to determine how best to quantify the net benefits from reducing carbon dioxide emissions. The purpose of this process was to ensure that agencies were using the best available information and to provide consistency in economic analysis associated with the rulemaking process across agencies.

To determine how best to quantify the net benefits from reducing carbon dioxide emissions, the Administration conducted an assessment of existing literature in order to set interim SCC values while it worked on a more comprehensive analysis. Agencies began using these interim values in rulemakings and soliciting public comments on the proposed rules in which the values were used. Informed by public comments received on the interim values, the Administration developed and released SCC estimates in February 2010. As explained in the February 2010 Technical Support Document (TSD), the SCC methodology rests on three leading integrated climate change assessment models: the FUND, DICE, and PAGE models. These

models combine climate processes, economic growth, and interactions between the climate and the global economy into a single modeling framework. These models are by far the most widely used and cited in the scholarly literature that links physical impacts to economic impacts, including both potential damages and benefits associated with anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions, for the purposes of estimating SCC.

The SCC estimates rely on a common set of inputs to each of the three underlying peer-reviewed models, and equally weigh the outputs from each of these models, as described in the 2010 TSD. The Administration used discount rates of 2.5, 3.0 and 5.0 percent in both the 2010 TSD and 2013 technical update to determine the SCC. This range of discount rates is consistent with OMB's Circular A-4, specifically regarding intergenerational discounting, and the published literature. Discount rates allow costs and benefits that occur at different times to be valued using a common metric. The 2010 TSD provides extensive discussion of the intergenerational discounting literature and why the three discount rates were chosen. Using a 7 percent discount rate in this context would suggest that there is effectively no consideration of the impact of carbon emissions on future generations.

The Administration also used a global measure of SCC because emissions of greenhouse gases contribute to damages around the world and the world's economies are now highly interconnected. To reflect the global nature of the problem, our SCC incorporates the full damages caused by carbon dioxide emissions and we expect other governments to consider the global consequences of their greenhouse gas emissions when setting their own domestic policies.

Recognizing that the underlying climate change assessment models would evolve and improve over time as more scientific evidence and economic understanding became available, the 2010 TSD anticipated updating the SCC value, and set a goal of an update within two years, or after updated versions of the underlying models became available. Since the February 2010 estimates were released, the three climate impact models that underpin the Federal Government's social cost of carbon estimates each have been significantly updated and subsequently used in peer-reviewed studies. Indeed, Federal agencies received many comments during rulemakings about the need to update the SCC based on the updated models. The Interagency Working Group determined that the 2010 modeling decisions remained valid for the 2013 technical update, and therefore, used the same approach and assumptions as in the 2010 analysis. The Administration expects to receive public comments on the updated estimates when they are used in rulemakings going forward and will consider public comments as the Federal Government looks for ways to continue improving SCC estimates in the future.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to use the best available scientific, technical, economic, and other information to quantify the costs and benefits of rules. Rigorous evaluation of costs and benefits has been a core tenet of the rulemaking process for decades across Administrations. This fundamental principle of using the best available information underpins the Administration's efforts to develop and update its estimates of the SCC. Indeed, cost benefit analysis better informs decision makers if it takes into account the current and future damages from carbon pollution. Both the 2010 and 2013 TSDs include guidance on how the SCC estimates should be applied in regulatory analysis.

Thank you for letter. If you or your staffs have any questions, please call Kristen J. Sarri, Associate Director for Legislative Affairs, at (202) 395-4790.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Howard A. Shelanski".

Howard A. Shelanski
Administrator
Office of Information
and Regulatory Affairs

Identical Letter Sent to:

The Honorable David Vitter
The Honorable Roy Blunt
The Honorable Jeff Sessions
The Honorable John Barrasso
The Honorable James Inhofe
The Honorable Roger Wicker
The Honorable John Boozman

To: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 7/23/2013 4:54:10 PM
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Oh woops

From: Vaught, Laura
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Never mind – this is just a copy of what got sent.

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:40 PM
To: Vaught, Laura
Subject: Fw: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

You on it?

From: Maher, Jess <[REDACTED]> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:38:17 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Vaught, Laura
Subject: FW: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:38 PM
To: Secreto, James; Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; Maher, Jess
Cc: Crowell, Brad; Mignone, Bryan
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Letter attached.

From: Secreto, James [<mailto:James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:15 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; Maher, Jess
Cc: Crowell, Brad; Mignone, Bryan
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Hi Jessica,

Do you have a copy of the final version of the letter as transmitted to the Committee?

All the best,

Jim

From: Crowell, Brad
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 9:57 AM
To: 'Menter, Jessica'; 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Secreto, James; Mignone, Bryan; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; 'Maher, Jess'
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 9:55 AM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Crowell, Brad; Secreto, James; Mignone, Bryan; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla

Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter
Importance: High

Last call for edits. Thank you.

From: Brown, Calla
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 6:58 PM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov; James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov; Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

All-

Attached please find the revised draft response to Sen. Vitter which OMB will send on behalf of all recipients tomorrow. Please send any edits to Jessica Menter (cc-ed) by **10 am**.

Thanks for your help with this quick turnaround.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2013 06:42 PM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin' <Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov' <James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov>; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Barron.Alex@epa.gov <Barron.Alex@epa.gov>; McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov) <McGartland.Al@epa.gov>; Barron, Alex <Barron.Alex@epa.gov>; Goffman, Joseph <Goffman.Joseph@epa.gov>; Dunham, Sarah <Dunham.Sarah@epa.gov>
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Neill, Allie; Eelman, Emily; Brown, Calla
Subject: Vitter SCC Letter

All,

Wanted to touch base regarding the Vitter SCC letter.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We will get you all a draft tomorrow with a quick turnaround.

Thanks much in advance,

Jessica

To: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 7/23/2013 4:40:11 PM
Subject: Fw: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter
[Vitter.pdf](#)

You on it?

From: Maher, Jess <**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**>
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:38:17 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Vaught, Laura
Subject: FW: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:38 PM
To: Secreto, James; Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; Maher, Jess
Cc: Crowell, Brad; Mignone, Bryan
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Letter attached.

From: Secreto, James [<mailto:James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 23, 2013 12:15 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; Maher, Jess
Cc: Crowell, Brad; Mignone, Bryan
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Hi Jessica,

Do you have a copy of the final version of the letter as transmitted to the Committee?

All the best,

Jim

From: Crowell, Brad
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 9:57 AM
To: 'Menter, Jessica'; 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Secreto, James; Mignone, Bryan; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla; 'Maher, Jess'
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 9:55 AM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Crowell, Brad; Secreto, James; Mignone, Bryan; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Brown, Calla
Subject: RE: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter
Importance: High

Last call for edits. Thank you.

From: Brown, Calla
Sent: Wednesday, July 17, 2013 6:58 PM
To: 'Ganesan, Arvin'; Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov; James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov; Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov; Barron, Alex (Barron.Alex@epa.gov); McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov); Barron, Alex; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Clearance needed by 10 AM TOMORROW: Vitter SCC Letter

All-

Attached please find the revised draft response to Sen. Vitter which OMB will send on behalf of all recipients tomorrow. Please send any edits to Jessica Menter (cc-ed) by **10 am**.

Thanks for your help with this quick turnaround.

From: Menter, Jessica

Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2013 06:42 PM

To: 'Ganesan, Arvin' <Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>; 'James.Secret0@Hq.Doe.Gov' <James.Secret0@Hq.Doe.Gov>; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Barron.Alex@epa.gov <Barron.Alex@epa.gov>; McGartland, Al (McGartland.Al@epa.gov) <McGartland.Al@epa.gov>; Barron, Alex <Barron.Alex@epa.gov>; Goffman, Joseph <Goffman.Joseph@epa.gov>; Dunham, Sarah <Dunham.Sarah@epa.gov>

Cc: Sarri, Kristen; Neill, Allie; Eelman, Emily; Brown, Calla

Subject: Vitter SCC Letter

All,

Wanted to touch base regarding the Vitter SCC letter.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We will get you all a draft tomorrow with a quick turnaround.

Thanks much in advance,

Jessica



EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

ADMINISTRATOR
OFFICE OF
INFORMATION AND
REGULATORY AFFAIRS

July 18, 2013

The Honorable David B. Vitter
Ranking Member
Committee on Environment
and Public Works
United States Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Vitter:

Thank you for your letter to Office of Management and Budget Director Sylvia Burwell, Department of Energy Secretary Ernest Moniz, Environmental Protection Agency Acting Administrator Robert Perciasepe, and Assistant Administrator Gina McCarthy regarding the Federal Government's recent revised assessment of the social cost of carbon (SCC). I am responding on their behalf.

The SCC refers to the values used to calculate the monetary costs and benefits of incremental changes in carbon emissions in a given year. The SCC includes, for example, changes in net agricultural productivity and human health, property damage from increased flood risk, energy system costs, and the value of lost ecosystem services due to climate change. Many public and private institutions place a value on carbon emissions to inform decision making. For example, Shell Oil evaluates all projects with a carbon price of \$40 per ton. These updated estimates are also consistent with the values used by other governments, such as the United Kingdom and Germany.

During the previous Administration and at the beginning of this Administration, agencies used a range of SCC values when evaluating the costs and benefits of rules. In 2009, the Administration launched an interagency process to determine how best to quantify the net benefits from reducing carbon dioxide emissions. The purpose of this process was to ensure that agencies were using the best available information and to provide consistency in economic analysis associated with the rulemaking process across agencies.

To determine how best to quantify the net benefits from reducing carbon dioxide emissions, the Administration conducted an assessment of existing literature in order to set interim SCC values while it worked on a more comprehensive analysis. Agencies began using these interim values in rulemakings and soliciting public comments on the proposed rules in which the values were used. Informed by public comments received on the interim values, the Administration developed and released SCC estimates in February 2010. As explained in the February 2010 Technical Support Document (TSD), the SCC methodology rests on three leading integrated climate change assessment models: the FUND, DICE, and PAGE models. These

models combine climate processes, economic growth, and interactions between the climate and the global economy into a single modeling framework. These models are by far the most widely used and cited in the scholarly literature that links physical impacts to economic impacts, including both potential damages and benefits associated with anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions, for the purposes of estimating SCC.

The SCC estimates rely on a common set of inputs to each of the three underlying peer-reviewed models, and equally weigh the outputs from each of these models, as described in the 2010 TSD. The Administration used discount rates of 2.5, 3.0 and 5.0 percent in both the 2010 TSD and 2013 technical update to determine the SCC. This range of discount rates is consistent with OMB's Circular A-4, specifically regarding intergenerational discounting, and the published literature. Discount rates allow costs and benefits that occur at different times to be valued using a common metric. The 2010 TSD provides extensive discussion of the intergenerational discounting literature and why the three discount rates were chosen. Using a 7 percent discount rate in this context would suggest that there is effectively no consideration of the impact of carbon emissions on future generations.

The Administration also used a global measure of SCC because emissions of greenhouse gases contribute to damages around the world and the world's economies are now highly interconnected. To reflect the global nature of the problem, our SCC incorporates the full damages caused by carbon dioxide emissions and we expect other governments to consider the global consequences of their greenhouse gas emissions when setting their own domestic policies.

Recognizing that the underlying climate change assessment models would evolve and improve over time as more scientific evidence and economic understanding became available, the 2010 TSD anticipated updating the SCC value, and set a goal of an update within two years, or after updated versions of the underlying models became available. Since the February 2010 estimates were released, the three climate impact models that underpin the Federal Government's social cost of carbon estimates each have been significantly updated and subsequently used in peer-reviewed studies. Indeed, Federal agencies received many comments during rulemakings about the need to update the SCC based on the updated models. The Interagency Working Group determined that the 2010 modeling decisions remained valid for the 2013 technical update, and therefore, used the same approach and assumptions as in the 2010 analysis. The Administration expects to receive public comments on the updated estimates when they are used in rulemakings going forward and will consider public comments as the Federal Government looks for ways to continue improving SCC estimates in the future.

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to use the best available scientific, technical, economic, and other information to quantify the costs and benefits of rules. Rigorous evaluation of costs and benefits has been a core tenet of the rulemaking process for decades across Administrations. This fundamental principle of using the best available information underpins the Administration's efforts to develop and update its estimates of the SCC. Indeed, cost benefit analysis better informs decision makers if it takes into account the current and future damages from carbon pollution. Both the 2010 and 2013 TSDs include guidance on how the SCC estimates should be applied in regulatory analysis.

Thank you for letter. If you or your staffs have any questions, please call Kristen J. Sarri, Associate Director for Legislative Affairs, at (202) 395-4790.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Howard A. Shelanski".

Howard A. Shelanski
Administrator
Office of Information
and Regulatory Affairs

Identical Letter Sent to:

The Honorable David Vitter
The Honorable Roy Blunt
The Honorable Jeff Sessions
The Honorable John Barrasso
The Honorable James Inhofe
The Honorable Roger Wicker
The Honorable John Boozman

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Neill, Allie [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Mon 7/15/2013 7:03:26 PM
Subject: RE: SCC letter

So, I think I'll be glued to the TV on noms. I think our convo would be super pithy.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

But let's chat. I should be around then.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 3:02 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie
Subject: RE: SCC letter

Hey, are you around between 6-630. I think (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 2:16 PM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: SCC letter

Great. I'm at 564.4741

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 11:53 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: SCC letter

Let's try for 4. What's the best number to reach you at? Allie and I will call you.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 11:01 AM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: SCC letter

4??

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 10:07 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Re: SCC letter

Let's touch base later today. How's late afternoon look?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 08:12 AM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Re: SCC letter

Great. Talk this am? 11 am?

From: Menter, Jessica <] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:46:11 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: FW: SCC letter

Arvin,

Happy to talk timing or discuss more over the phone.

Thanks!

Jessica

From: Menter, Jessica

Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:45 AM

To: 'Barron, Alex'; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah; McGartland, Al; Ganesan, Arvin

Cc: Neill, Allie

Subject: SCC letter

All,

Wanted to check in regarding the status of the SCC letter.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We need to get this out on Thursday, so if you could send over the draft(however it stands) – that would be great.

Thanks,

Jessica

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Neill, Allie [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Mon 7/15/2013 6:16:10 PM
Subject: RE: SCC letter

Great. I'm at 564.4741

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 11:53 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: SCC letter

Let's try for 4. What's the best number to reach you at? Allie and I will call you.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 11:01 AM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: SCC letter

4??

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 10:07 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Re: SCC letter

Let's touch base later today. How's late afternoon look?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 08:12 AM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen

Subject: Re: SCC letter

Great. Talk this am? 11 am?

From: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:46:11 AM

To: Ganesan, Arvin

Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen

Subject: FW: SCC letter

Arvin,

Happy to talk timing or discuss more over the phone.

Thanks!

Jessica

From: Menter, Jessica

Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:45 AM

To: 'Barron, Alex'; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah; McGartland, Al; Ganesan, Arvin

Cc: Neill, Allie

Subject: SCC letter

All,

Wanted to check in regarding the status of the SCC letter.

[Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process]

[Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process]

We need to get this out on Thursday, so if you could send over the draft(however it stands) – that would be great.

Thanks,

Jessica

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Neill, Allie [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Mon 7/15/2013 3:00:35 PM
Subject: RE: SCC letter

4??

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 10:07 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Re: SCC letter

Let's touch base later today. How's late afternoon look?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 15, 2013 08:12 AM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Re: SCC letter

Great. Talk this am? 11 am?

From: Menter, Jessica < [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:46:11 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: FW: SCC letter

Arvin,

Happy to talk timing or discuss more over the phone.

Thanks!

Jessica

From: Menter, Jessica

Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:45 AM

To: 'Barron, Alex'; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah; McGartland, Al; Ganesan, Arvin

Cc: Neill, Allie

Subject: SCC letter

All,

Wanted to check in regarding the status of the SCC letter.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We need to get this out on Thursday, so if you could send over the draft(however it stands) – that would be great.

Thanks,

Jessica

To: Jessica Menter[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Cc: Neill, Allie[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**], Kristen Sarri[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Mon 7/15/2013 12:12:13 PM
Subject: Re: SCC letter

Great. Talk this am? 11 am?

From: Menter, Jessica [**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:46:11 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: FW: SCC letter

Arvin,

Happy to talk timing or discuss more over the phone.

Thanks!

Jessica

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2013 10:45 AM
To: 'Barron, Alex'; Goffman, Joseph; Dunham, Sarah; McGartland, Al; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Neill, Allie
Subject: SCC letter

All,

Wanted to check in regarding the status of the SCC letter.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We need to get this out on Thursday, so if you could send over the draft(however it stands) – that would be great.

Thanks,

Jessica

To: Neill, Allie[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'[Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Cc: Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'[Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov]; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov'[James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov]; Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 7/9/2013 8:18:44 PM
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Neill, Allie [mailto: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 4:04 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

We need to get back to the committee. Can you all let us know one way or another by 4:30?

From: Neill, Allie
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 3:52 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

Brad and Arvin,

Any word?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 11:18 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; Neill, Allie; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

How about later in the afternoon?

5? Too late?

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:55 AM
To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; Neill, Allie; 'James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Happy if folks want to propose another time.

From: Crowell, Brad [mailto:Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:53 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie; Secretó, James <James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

+Jim Secretó in my office. 11:30 does not work, but if that's the designated time, I'll find someone to join the call.

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:22 AM
To: Crowell, Brad; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Checking in here - can you give me potential times you are free for a call?

Is 11:30 possible?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 10:44 PM
To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Can we jump on phone tomorrow AM to discuss? We have HOCR hearing request for 18th.

From: Crowell, Brad [<mailto:Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 09:14 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Bryan Mignone, copied, is the POC for DOE.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:>] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 05:15 PM
To: Maher, Jess <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy>; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov)
(ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>; Crowell, Brad
Cc: Menter, Jessica <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

I completely missed this email. +Jess Menter

Arvin and Brad, do you have POCs that are working on the letter?

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 7:26 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov); Crowell, Brad
Subject: Social Cost of Carbon

Just making sure you guys saw the letter referenced in the below story.

GOP senators slam 'significant change' to 'social cost' of carbon

By Zack Colman - 06/19/13 05:06 PM ET

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Republicans told administration officials they're "troubled" by a recent change to the way agencies calculate benefits from carbon regulations.

The lawmakers say they're concerned the higher "social cost of carbon" authorized by the Obama administration will be used to justify more aggressive greenhouse gas emission rules.

"This is a significant change to an already highly controversial estimate, and as such requires transparency, open debate, and an adherence to well-understood and previously agreed-upon rules," the GOP senators, led by committee ranking member David Vitter (R-La.), wrote to agency chiefs at the Energy Department, White House Office of Management and Budget and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a letter publicized Wednesday.

The administration quietly raised the figure — which assigns a monetary value to health, property and other damage associated with carbon pollution — in May to \$36 per ton of carbon dioxide emitted, up from \$22.

The interagency panel cited new information on extreme weather, sea-level rise, agricultural production and other items as reasons for boosting the cost.

The GOP senators requested responses on the process behind revising the social cost of carbon by July 2.

The updated estimate, in essence, increases the benefits of potential carbon regulations. Experts contend that signals President Obama is ready to undertake more ambitious climate measures.

Heather Zichal, the president's climate and energy adviser, said such actions would be coming in weeks.

She said at a Wednesday event in Washington, D.C., that the administration would first focus on finalizing proposed emissions rules for new coal-fired power plants. The EPA missed an April deadline for enacting the standards.

Those prospects concerned the Environment and Public Works Republicans.

"As you are aware, the SCC [social cost of carbon] estimate is crucial to the Administration's climate change agenda because the higher the number, the more benefits can be attributed to costly environmental regulations and standards," they wrote.

They have previously requested more information from the EPA about what data it uses to craft

its air- and water-pollution rules, which Republicans oppose as economically burdensome.

They said the administration's decision to raise the social cost of carbon meant getting that information was all the more necessary.

"In addition to real and ongoing concerns about the lack of openness and transparency throughout this Administration, we are troubled by any characterization of the reworked interagency estimate as relatively minor," they said.

Proponents of greenhouse gas curbs, meanwhile, are quickly using the social cost of carbon revision to try and bolster their efforts.

Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) told The Hill Wednesday that he wants his draft carbon tax legislation reviewed in light of the new figure.

Whitehouse and Rep. Henry Waxman (D-Calif.) in March floated a draft bill to impose carbon fees on industrial emissions sources like refineries and power plants.

The Rhode Island Democrat said Wednesday that he's hoping to get the bill reviewed by the Congressional Budget Office or the Joint Committee on Taxation using the new figure.

--Ben Geman contributed to this report.

--This report was updated at 5:29 p.m.

Read more: <http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/e2-wire/306645-gop-senators-slam-significant-change-to-social-cost-of-carbon#ixzz2WhtlITIj>

Follow us: [@thehill](#) on Twitter | [TheHill](#) on Facebook

Jess Maher

Special Assistant to the President

White House Office of Legislative Affairs

Main Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Direct Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

To: Sarri, Kristen[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**];
'James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov'[James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov];
'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'[Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Cc: Menter, Jessica[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**];
'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'[Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov]; Neill, Allie[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 7/9/2013 3:24:11 PM
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

Ok. call in #?

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 11:23 AM
To: 'James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov'; Ganesan, Arvin; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

1pm works for us if EPA ok.

From: Secret0, James [mailto:James.Secret0@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 11:21 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; 'Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov' <Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>; Crowell, Brad
<Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

2pm does not work. We could do 1pm.

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 11:19 AM
To: 'Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov' <Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>; Crowell, Brad
Cc: Menter, Jessica <**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**>; Mignone, Bryan; Neill, Allie
<**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**>; Secret0, James
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Too late. (b) (5) 2pm?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 11:17 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie;

'James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov' <James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov>

Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

How about later in the afternoon?

5? Too late?

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:55 AM

To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'; Ganesan, Arvin

Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; Neill, Allie; 'James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov'

Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Happy if folks want to propose another time.

From: Crowell, Brad [mailto:Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]

Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:53 AM

To: Sarri, Kristen; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>

Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie; Secretto, James <James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov>

Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

+Jim Secretto in my office. 11:30 does not work, but if that's the designated time, I'll find someone to join the call.

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:22 AM

To: Crowell, Brad; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov'

Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan; Neill, Allie

Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Checking in here - can you give me potential times you are free for a call?

Is 11:30 possible?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 10:44 PM
To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Can we jump on phone tomorrow AM to discuss? We have HOGH hearing request for 18th.

From: Crowell, Brad [mailto:Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 09:14 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Bryan Mignone, copied, is the POC for DOE.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 05:15 PM
To: Maher, Jess <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy>; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>; Crowell, Brad
Cc: Menter, Jessica <Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

I completely missed this email. +Jess Menter

Arvin and Brad, do you have POCs that are working on the letter?

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 7:26 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov); Crowell, Brad
Subject: Social Cost of Carbon

Just making sure you guys saw the letter referenced in the below story.

GOP senators slam 'significant change' to 'social cost' of carbon

By Zack Colman - 06/19/13 05:06 PM ET

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Republicans told administration officials they're "troubled" by a recent change to the way agencies calculate benefits from carbon regulations.

The lawmakers say they're concerned the higher "social cost of carbon" authorized by the Obama administration will be used to justify more aggressive greenhouse gas emission rules.

"This is a significant change to an already highly controversial estimate, and as such requires transparency, open debate, and an adherence to well-understood and previously agreed-upon rules," the GOP senators, led by committee ranking member David Vitter (R-La.), wrote to agency chiefs at the Energy Department, White House Office of Management and Budget and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a letter publicized Wednesday.

The administration quietly raised the figure — which assigns a monetary value to health, property and other damage associated with carbon pollution — in May to \$36 per ton of carbon dioxide emitted, up from \$22.

The interagency panel cited new information on extreme weather, sea-level rise, agricultural production and other items as reasons for boosting the cost.

The GOP senators requested responses on the process behind revising the social cost of carbon by July 2.

The updated estimate, in essence, increases the benefits of potential carbon regulations. Experts contend that signals President Obama is ready to undertake more ambitious climate measures.

Heather Zichal, the president's climate and energy adviser, said such actions would be coming in weeks.

She said at a Wednesday event in Washington, D.C., that the administration would first focus on finalizing proposed emissions rules for new coal-fired power plants. The EPA missed an April deadline for enacting the standards.

Those prospects concerned the Environment and Public Works Republicans.

"As you are aware, the SCC [social cost of carbon] estimate is crucial to the Administration's

climate change agenda because the higher the number, the more benefits can be attributed to costly environmental regulations and standards,” they wrote.

They have previously requested more information from the EPA about what data it uses to craft its air- and water-pollution rules, which Republicans oppose as economically burdensome.

They said the administration’s decision to raise the social cost of carbon meant getting that information was all the more necessary.

“In addition to real and ongoing concerns about the lack of openness and transparency throughout this Administration, we are troubled by any characterization of the reworked interagency estimate as relatively minor,” they said.

Proponents of greenhouse gas curbs, meanwhile, are quickly using the social cost of carbon revision to try and bolster their efforts.

Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) told The Hill Wednesday that he wants his draft carbon tax legislation reviewed in light of the new figure.

Whitehouse and Rep. Henry Waxman (D-Calif.) in March floated a draft bill to impose carbon fees on industrial emissions sources like refineries and power plants.

The Rhode Island Democrat said Wednesday that he’s hoping to get the bill reviewed by the Congressional Budget Office or the Joint Committee on Taxation using the new figure.

--Ben Geman contributed to this report.

--This report was updated at 5:29 p.m.

Read more: <http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/e2-wire/306645-gop-senators-slam-significant-change-to-social-cost-of-carbon#ixzz2WhtIITIJ>

Follow us: [@thehill](#) on Twitter | [TheHill](#) on Facebook

Jess Maher

Special Assistant to the President

White House Office of Legislative Affairs

Main Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Direct Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

To: Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'[Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Cc: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy];
'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'[Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov]; Neill, Allie [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov'[James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 7/9/2013 3:20:47 PM
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

OK by me.

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 11:20 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; Neill, Allie; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Too late. (b) (5) 2pm?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 11:17 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie;
'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov' <James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

How about later in the afternoon?

5? Too late?

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:55 AM
To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; Neill, Allie; 'James.Secret@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Happy if folks want to propose another time.

From: Crowell, Brad [<mailto:Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:53 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie; Secreto, James <James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

+Jim Secreto in my office. 11:30 does not work, but if that's the designated time, I'll find someone to join the call.

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:22 AM
To: Crowell, Brad; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Checking in here - can you give me potential times you are free for a call?

Is 11:30 possible?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 10:44 PM
To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Can we jump on phone tomorrow AM to discuss? We have HOGH hearing request for 18th.

From: Crowell, Brad [<mailto:Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 09:14 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Bryan Mignone, copied, is the POC for DOE.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 05:15 PM

To: Maher, Jess <[REDACTED] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy >; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>; Crowell, Brad

Cc: Menter, Jessica <[REDACTED] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy >

Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

I completely missed this email. +Jess Menter

Arvin and Brad, do you have POCs that are working on the letter?

From: Maher, Jess

Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 7:26 PM

To: Sarri, Kristen; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov); Crowell, Brad

Subject: Social Cost of Carbon

Just making sure you guys saw the letter referenced in the below story.

GOP senators slam 'significant change' to 'social cost' of carbon

By Zack Colman - 06/19/13 05:06 PM ET

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Republicans told administration officials they're "troubled" by a recent change to the way agencies calculate benefits from carbon regulations.

The lawmakers say they're concerned the higher "social cost of carbon" authorized by the Obama administration will be used to justify more aggressive greenhouse gas emission rules.

"This is a significant change to an already highly controversial estimate, and as such requires transparency, open debate, and an adherence to well-understood and previously agreed-upon

rules,” the GOP senators, led by committee ranking member David Vitter (R-La.), wrote to agency chiefs at the Energy Department, White House Office of Management and Budget and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a letter publicized Wednesday.

The administration quietly raised the figure — which assigns a monetary value to health, property and other damage associated with carbon pollution — in May to \$36 per ton of carbon dioxide emitted, up from \$22.

The interagency panel cited new information on extreme weather, sea-level rise, agricultural production and other items as reasons for boosting the cost.

The GOP senators requested responses on the process behind revising the social cost of carbon by July 2.

The updated estimate, in essence, increases the benefits of potential carbon regulations. Experts contend that signals President Obama is ready to undertake more ambitious climate measures.

Heather Zichal, the president’s climate and energy adviser, said such actions would be coming in weeks.

She said at a Wednesday event in Washington, D.C., that the administration would first focus on finalizing proposed emissions rules for new coal-fired power plants. The EPA missed an April deadline for enacting the standards.

Those prospects concerned the Environment and Public Works Republicans.

“As you are aware, the SCC [social cost of carbon] estimate is crucial to the Administration’s climate change agenda because the higher the number, the more benefits can be attributed to costly environmental regulations and standards,” they wrote.

They have previously requested more information from the EPA about what data it uses to craft its air- and water-pollution rules, which Republicans oppose as economically burdensome.

They said the administration’s decision to raise the social cost of carbon meant getting that information was all the more necessary.

“In addition to real and ongoing concerns about the lack of openness and transparency throughout this Administration, we are troubled by any characterization of the reworked interagency estimate as relatively minor,” they said.

Proponents of greenhouse gas curbs, meanwhile, are quickly using the social cost of carbon revision to try and bolster their efforts.

Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) told The Hill Wednesday that he wants his draft carbon tax legislation reviewed in light of the new figure.

Whitehouse and Rep. Henry Waxman (D-Calif.) in March floated a draft bill to impose carbon fees on industrial emissions sources like refineries and power plants.

The Rhode Island Democrat said Wednesday that he's hoping to get the bill reviewed by the Congressional Budget Office or the Joint Committee on Taxation using the new figure.

--Ben Geman contributed to this report.

--This report was updated at 5:29 p.m.

Read more: <http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/e2-wire/306645-gop-senators-slam-significant-change-to-social-cost-of-carbon#ixzz2WhtlITIj>

Follow us: [@thehill](#) on Twitter | [TheHill](#) on Facebook

Jess Maher

Special Assistant to the President

White House Office of Legislative Affairs

Main Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Direct Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

To: Sarri, Kristen[mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'[Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]
Cc: Menter, Jessica[mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)];
'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'[Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov]; Neill, Allie[mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
'James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov'[James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 7/9/2013 3:17:43 PM
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

How about later in the afternoon?

5? Too late?

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:55 AM
To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov'; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov'; Neill, Allie; 'James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov'
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Happy if folks want to propose another time.

From: Crowell, Brad [mailto:[Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:53 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie; Secretó, James <James.Secreto@Hq.Doe.Gov>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

+Jim Secretó in my office. 11:30 does not work, but if that's the designated time, I'll find someone to join the call.

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, July 09, 2013 10:22 AM
To: Crowell, Brad; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov'
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Checking in here - can you give me potential times you are free for a call?

Is 11:30 possible?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Monday, July 08, 2013 10:44 PM
To: 'Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov' <Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; 'Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov' <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>; Neill, Allie
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Can we jump on phone tomorrow AM to discuss? We have HOCR hearing request for 18th.

From: Crowell, Brad [<mailto:Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 09:14 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; 'ganesan.arvin@epa.gov' <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Mignone, Bryan <Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov>
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Bryan Mignone, copied, is the POC for DOE. **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 05:15 PM
To: Maher, Jess <[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](mailto:)>; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>; Crowell, Brad
Cc: Menter, Jessica <[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](mailto:)>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

I completely missed this email. +Jess Menter

Arvin and Brad, do you have POCs that are working on the letter?

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 7:26 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov); Crowell, Brad
Subject: Social Cost of Carbon

Just making sure you guys saw the letter referenced in the below story.

GOP senators slam 'significant change' to 'social cost' of carbon

By Zack Colman - 06/19/13 05:06 PM ET

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Republicans told administration officials they're "troubled" by a recent change to the way agencies calculate benefits from carbon regulations.

The lawmakers say they're concerned the higher "social cost of carbon" authorized by the Obama administration will be used to justify more aggressive greenhouse gas emission rules.

"This is a significant change to an already highly controversial estimate, and as such requires transparency, open debate, and an adherence to well-understood and previously agreed-upon rules," the GOP senators, led by committee ranking member David Vitter (R-La.), wrote to agency chiefs at the Energy Department, White House Office of Management and Budget and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a letter publicized Wednesday.

The administration quietly raised the figure — which assigns a monetary value to health, property and other damage associated with carbon pollution — in May to \$36 per ton of carbon dioxide emitted, up from \$22.

The interagency panel cited new information on extreme weather, sea-level rise, agricultural production and other items as reasons for boosting the cost.

The GOP senators requested responses on the process behind revising the social cost of carbon by July 2.

The updated estimate, in essence, increases the benefits of potential carbon regulations. Experts contend that signals President Obama is ready to undertake more ambitious climate measures.

Heather Zichal, the president's climate and energy adviser, said such actions would be coming in weeks.

She said at a Wednesday event in Washington, D.C., that the administration would first focus on finalizing proposed emissions rules for new coal-fired power plants. The EPA missed an April

deadline for enacting the standards.

Those prospects concerned the Environment and Public Works Republicans.

“As you are aware, the SCC [social cost of carbon] estimate is crucial to the Administration’s climate change agenda because the higher the number, the more benefits can be attributed to costly environmental regulations and standards,” they wrote.

They have previously requested more information from the EPA about what data it uses to craft its air- and water-pollution rules, which Republicans oppose as economically burdensome.

They said the administration’s decision to raise the social cost of carbon meant getting that information was all the more necessary.

“In addition to real and ongoing concerns about the lack of openness and transparency throughout this Administration, we are troubled by any characterization of the reworked interagency estimate as relatively minor,” they said.

Proponents of greenhouse gas curbs, meanwhile, are quickly using the social cost of carbon revision to try and bolster their efforts.

Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) told The Hill Wednesday that he wants his draft carbon tax legislation reviewed in light of the new figure.

Whitehouse and Rep. Henry Waxman (D-Calif.) in March floated a draft bill to impose carbon fees on industrial emissions sources like refineries and power plants.

The Rhode Island Democrat said Wednesday that he’s hoping to get the bill reviewed by the Congressional Budget Office or the Joint Committee on Taxation using the new figure.

--Ben Geman contributed to this report.

--This report was updated at 5:29 p.m.

Read more: <http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/e2-wire/306645-gop-senators-slam-significant-change-to-social-cost-of-carbon#ixzz2WhtIITIJ>

Follow us: [@thehill](#) on Twitter | [TheHill](#) on Facebook

Jess Maher

Special Assistant to the President

White House Office of Legislative Affairs

Main Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Direct Line:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

To: Crowell, Brad[Brad.Crowell@Hq.Doe.Gov]; Kristen Sarri[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Jessica A. Maher[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Jessica Menter[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Mignone, Bryan[Bryan.Mignone@hq.doe.gov]; Barron, Alex[Barron.Alex@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 7/2/2013 1:17:46 PM
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

+ alex barron

From: Crowell, Brad
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 9:14:08 AM
To: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Mignone, Bryan
Subject: Re: Social Cost of Carbon

Bryan Mignone, copied, is the POC for DOE. [Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process]

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Kristen_J_Sarri@omb.eop.gov]
Sent: Monday, July 01, 2013 05:15 PM
To: Maher, Jess <Jessica_A_Maher@who.eop.gov>; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) <ganesan.arvin@epa.gov>; Crowell, Brad
Cc: Menter, Jessica <Jessica_N_Menter@omb.eop.gov>
Subject: RE: Social Cost of Carbon

I completely missed this email. +Jess Menter

Arvin and Brad, do you have POCs that are working on the letter?

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Wednesday, June 19, 2013 7:26 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Arvin Ganesan (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov) (ganesan.arvin@epa.gov); Crowell, Brad
Subject: Social Cost of Carbon

Just making sure you guys saw the letter referenced in the below story.

GOP senators slam 'significant change'

to 'social cost' of carbon

By Zack Colman - 06/19/13 05:06 PM ET

Senate Environment and Public Works Committee Republicans told administration officials they're "troubled" by a recent change to the way agencies calculate benefits from carbon regulations.

The lawmakers say they're concerned the higher "social cost of carbon" authorized by the Obama administration will be used to justify more aggressive greenhouse gas emission rules.

"This is a significant change to an already highly controversial estimate, and as such requires transparency, open debate, and an adherence to well-understood and previously agreed-upon rules," the GOP senators, led by committee ranking member David Vitter (R-La.), wrote to agency chiefs at the Energy Department, White House Office of Management and Budget and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in a letter publicized Wednesday.

The administration quietly raised the figure — which assigns a monetary value to health, property and other damage associated with carbon pollution — in May to \$36 per ton of carbon dioxide emitted, up from \$22.

The interagency panel cited new information on extreme weather, sea-level rise, agricultural production and other items as reasons for boosting the cost.

The GOP senators requested responses on the process behind revising the social cost of carbon by July 2.

The updated estimate, in essence, increases the benefits of potential carbon regulations. Experts contend that signals President Obama is ready to undertake more ambitious climate measures.

Heather Zichal, the president's climate and energy adviser, said such actions would be coming in weeks.

She said at a Wednesday event in Washington, D.C., that the administration would first focus on finalizing proposed emissions rules for new coal-fired power plants. The EPA missed an April deadline for enacting the standards.

Those prospects concerned the Environment and Public Works Republicans.

"As you are aware, the SCC [social cost of carbon] estimate is crucial to the Administration's climate change agenda because the higher the number, the more benefits can be attributed to costly environmental regulations and standards," they wrote.

They have previously requested more information from the EPA about what data it uses to craft its air- and water-pollution rules, which Republicans oppose as economically burdensome.

They said the administration's decision to raise the social cost of carbon meant getting that information was all the more necessary.

"In addition to real and ongoing concerns about the lack of openness and transparency throughout this Administration, we are troubled by any characterization of the reworked interagency estimate as relatively minor," they said.

Proponents of greenhouse gas curbs, meanwhile, are quickly using the social cost of carbon revision to try and bolster their efforts.

Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse (D-R.I.) told The Hill Wednesday that he wants his draft carbon tax legislation reviewed in light of the new figure.

Whitehouse and Rep. Henry Waxman (D-Calif.) in March floated a draft bill to impose carbon fees on industrial emissions sources like refineries and power plants.

The Rhode Island Democrat said Wednesday that he's hoping to get the bill reviewed by the Congressional Budget Office or the Joint Committee on Taxation using the new figure.

--Ben Geman contributed to this report.

--This report was updated at 5:29 p.m.

Read more: <http://thehill.com/blogs/e2-wire/e2-wire/306645-gop-senators-slam-significant-change-to-social-cost-of-carbon#ixzz2WhtIITIJ>

Follow us: [@thehill on Twitter](#) | [TheHill on Facebook](#)

Jess Maher

Special Assistant to the President

White House Office of Legislative Affairs

Main Line

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Direct Line

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

To: Jessica Menter[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov];
Jessica A. Maher[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Fri 4/5/2013 9:39:04 PM
Subject: Fw: PM data letters
Vitter attachment - clean 4-5.docx
Vitter cover letter - clean 4-5.docx
Hall attachment clean 4-5.docx
Hall cover letter - clean 4-5.docx

Jessica, jess, kevin

See the pm letter (b) (5)

Thanks

From: Beauvais, Joel
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 5:35:14 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: PM data letters

Arvin – Attached are the (b) (5)
(b) (5) Please send along to Jessica (b) (5)
(b) (5)
(b) (5)

Joel

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Maher, Jess [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Beauvais, Joel [Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Fri 4/5/2013 8:50:56 PM
Subject: RE: PM letter

Yes, that's correct. We'll send the agreed upon draft shortly.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 4:42 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: Re: PM letter

Hey Arvin, just heard that there was further back and forth and you all are going to send us a full draft soon? Standing by, thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 01:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel <Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: PM letter

No. Thank YOU for your help.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: RE: PM letter

Thanks for sending Arvin. Pushing over here.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 1:12 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Menter, Jessica

Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: PM letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks!

Arvin R. Ganesan

Associate Administrator

U.S Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

202.564.5200

ganesan.arvin@epa.gov

To: Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Fri 4/5/2013 8:25:18 PM
Subject: RE: PM letter

Yes. I'm at desk.

From: Beauvais, Joel
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 4:25 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: Re: PM letter

Need to talk to you soon - Dom has now agreed to a better outcome on this. You around?

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 1:23:31 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: RE: PM letter

No. Thank YOU for your help.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: RE: PM letter

Thanks for sending Arvin. Pushing over here.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 1:12 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Menter, Jessica
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: PM letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks!

Arvin R. Ganesan

Associate Administrator

U.S Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

202.564.5200

ganesan.arvin@epa.gov

To: Menter, Jessica[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]; Sarri, Kristen[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Cc: Maher, Jess[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]; Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Fri 4/5/2013 5:23:32 PM
Subject: RE: PM letter

No. Thank YOU for your help.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: RE: PM letter

Thanks for sending Arvin. Pushing over here.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 1:12 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Menter, Jessica
Cc: Maher, Jess; Beauvais, Joel
Subject: PM letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks!

Arvin R. Ganesan

Associate Administrator

U.S Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations

202.564.5200

ganesan.arvin@epa.gov

To: Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]; Mackay, Cheryl[Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 4/4/2013 6:04:31 PM
Subject: Fw: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Fyi

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:22:05 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Re: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

We do not have time to spare here b/c this letter needs to be closed out **Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process**

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process Arvin, if you want to reach out to Andrei that's fine by me. Kris?

Thanks.
Jess

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 01:18 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Re: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Maybe we should talk to andrei about this?

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:16:27 PM
To: Jessica A. Maher; Kristen Sarri
Subject: Fw: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Can we talk about this? (b) (5)

From: Beauvais, Joel
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:11:51 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: FW: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Looks like (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Therefore, EPA will work with all relevant agencies to provide maximum access to the requested data. EPA will provide an update to your staff on this work by April 30, 2013

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]

Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:06 PM

To: Mackay, Cheryl; Menter, Jessica

Cc: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh; Palm, Mary E.

Subject: RE: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Cheryl – (b) (5)

(b) (5)

Please let us know if you wish to chat; I am actually tied up until 5pm, but Jim and Mary could talk with you before that if necessary. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 5:30 PM

To: Menter, Jessica

Cc: Schwab, Margo; Rodan, Bruce; Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh

Subject: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Hi Jess,

Here is our passback on the Hall and Vitter PM data letters. Thanks for your help on this.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We appreciate your help getting this through (b) (5)

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Jessica A. Maher [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Kristen Sarri [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 4/4/2013 5:18:12 PM
Subject: Re: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Maybe we should talk to andrei about this?

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:16:27 PM
To: Jessica A. Maher; Kristen Sarri
Subject: Fw: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Can we talk about this? (b) (5)

From: Beauvais, Joel
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:11:51 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: FW: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Looks like (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Therefore, EPA will work with all relevant agencies to provide maximum access to the requested data. EPA will provide an update to your staff on this work by April 30, 2013

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:06 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl; Menter, Jessica

Cc: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh; Palm, Mary E.
Subject: RE: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Cheryl – (b) (5)
(b) (5) Please let us know if you wish to chat; I am actually tied up until 5pm, but Jim and Mary could talk with you before that if necessary. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [<mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 5:30 PM
To: Menter, Jessica
Cc: Schwab, Margo; Rodan, Bruce; Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh
Subject: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Hi Jess,

Here is our passback on the Hall and Vitter PM data letters. Thanks for your help on this.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We appreciate your help getting this through (b) (5)

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Jessica A. Maher[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Kristen
Sarri[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 4/4/2013 5:16:27 PM
Subject: Fw: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters
Hall cover letter - EPA passback jcb 4-3 1530pm OMB-OSTP response 4-4-2013.docx

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Can we talk about this? (b) (5)

From: Beauvais, Joel
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:11:51 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: FW: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Looks like (b) (5)

(b) (5)
(b) (5)

Therefore, EPA will work with all relevant agencies to provide maximum access to the requested data. EPA will provide an update to your staff on this work by April 30, 2013

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:06 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl; Menter, Jessica
Cc: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh; Palm, Mary E.
Subject: RE: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Cheryl – (b) (5)
(b) (5)

Please let us know if you wish to chat; I am actually tied up until

5pm, but Jim and Mary could talk with you before that if necessary. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [<mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 5:30 PM

To: Menter, Jessica

Cc: Schwab, Margo; Rodan, Bruce; Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh

Subject: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Hi Jess,

Here is our passback on the Hall and Vitter PM data letters. Thanks for your help on this.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We appreciate your help getting this through (b) (5)

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Beauvais, Joel[Beauvais.Joel@epa.gov]; Mackay, Cheryl[Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 4/4/2013 5:13:33 PM
Subject: Re: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

How about you flag for gina and dom and ill flag for jess?

Thanks

From: Beauvais, Joel
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:11:51 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: FW: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Looks like (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

Therefore, EPA will work with all relevant agencies to provide maximum access to the requested data. EPA will provide an update to your staff on this work by April 30, 2013

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Thursday, April 04, 2013 1:06 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl; Menter, Jessica
Cc: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh; Palm, Mary E.
Subject: RE: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Cheryl – (b) (5)

(b) (5) Please let us know if you wish to chat; I am actually tied up until 5pm, but Jim and Mary could talk with you before that if necessary. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2013 5:30 PM
To: Menter, Jessica

Cc: Schwab, Margo; Rodan, Bruce; Laity, Jim; Beauvais, Joel; Ganesan, Arvin; Lewis, Josh
Subject: passback on Hall and Vitter PM data letters

Hi Jess,

Here is our passback on the Hall and Vitter PM data letters. Thanks for your help on this.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

We appreciate your help getting this through (b) (5)

Thanks,

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay

USEPA Office of Congressional Affairs

(202) 564-2023

To: Sarri, Kristen[[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]; Menter, Jessica[[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]; Maher, Jess[[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wed 3/20/2013 6:34:24 PM
Subject: FW: Vitter/Smith etc letters

Hey guys. See below. This about a Vitter letter and a less specific House letter, that was addressed to EPA and OMB. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5) Can you please weigh in with staff to put this review on a tight timeline?

Thanks so much.

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:11 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: Vitter/Smith etc letters
Importance: High

Hi Arvin, See below from OMB. They are requesting an additional week. Maybe this is something you can raise with Chris? Thanks.

From: Schwab, Margo [[mailto:](#) [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:07 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Thanks. We look forward to seeing them. I will set up a call for Friday or Monday, (b) (5)
(b) (5) We request an additional week to make sure everyone is on board. Thanks. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [[mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov](#)]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 12:04 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

We hope to have drafts of the Vitter/Smith and Hall/Harris/Smith letters ready to share with you sometime tomorrow. We will need to have your final comments by March 29. To help the process along, we thought it might be helpful to have a meeting to go over our drafts on Friday or Monday. Would you be able to help set up such a meeting on your end, and make sure the right people from OIRA and OSTP are involved? I can do the same for the EPA side.

Thanks!

Cheryl

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Our policy folks are asking what the time line is for us seeing a draft of EPA's letter; (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Mackay, Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay,Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 11:19 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: Re: House Science letter

Ok. Thanks for checking. I will get back to you once (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: "Schwab, Margo" [] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: 02/06/2013 01:54 AM GMT
To: Cheryl Mackay
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

Following up on our phone conversation, (b) (5)

(b) (5)



I hope this covers it! After you have had a chance to review this, please give me a call and we can discuss our response(s).

Thanks,
Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023

fax: (202) 501-1550

----- Forwarded by Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US on 01/14/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Woods, Clint" <Clint.Woods@mail.house.gov>, Tara.Rothschild@mail.house.gov
Cc: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/08/2012 01:03 PM
Subject: response to letter from Chairman Harris requesting CSAPR data

Clint and Tara,

Please see the attached. The first attachment is our cover letter. The remaining attachments consist of data sets from Harvard and NYU. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thank you for your patience.

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

(See attached file: Harris CL.pdf)

(See attached file: Response from Harvard Univ.pdf)(See attached file: harvard_6cities_0309121.xlsx)
(See attached file: NYU letter 03 29 12.pdf)(See attached file: ACS82_98.xlsx)(See attached file: SO4.FINAL.xlsx)

To: Mackay, Cheryl[Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wed 3/20/2013 6:32:55 PM
Subject: RE: Vitter/Smith etc letters

Will do.

From: Mackay, Cheryl
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:11 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: Vitter/Smith etc letters
Importance: High

Hi Arvin, See below from OMB. They are requesting an additional week. Maybe this is something you can raise with Chris? Thanks.

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 2:07 PM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Thanks. We look forward to seeing them. I will set up a call for Friday or Monday. (b) (5)
(b) (5) We request
an additional week to make sure everyone is on board. Thanks. Margo

From: Mackay, Cheryl [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 20, 2013 12:04 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

We hope to have drafts of the Vitter/Smith and Hall/Harris/Smith letters ready to share with you sometime tomorrow. We will need to have your final comments by March 29. To help the process along, we thought it might be helpful to have a meeting to go over our drafts on Friday

or Monday. Would you be able to help set up such a meeting on your end, and make sure the right people from OIRA and OSTP are involved? I can do the same for the EPA side.

Thanks!

Cheryl

From: Schwab, Margo [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 10:08 AM
To: Mackay, Cheryl
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Our policy folks are asking what the time line is for us seeing a draft of EPA's letter; (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2013 11:19 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: Re: House Science letter

Ok. Thanks for checking. I will get back to you once (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: "Schwab, Margo" [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: 02/06/2013 01:54 AM GMT
To: Cheryl Mackay
Subject: RE: House Science letter

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Mackay.Cheryl@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2013 3:10 PM
To: Schwab, Margo
Subject: House Science letter

Hi Margo,

Following up on our phone conversation, (b) (5)

(b) (5)



I hope this covers it! After you have had a chance to review this, please give me a call and we can discuss our response(s).

Thanks,
Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

----- Forwarded by Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US on 01/14/2013 02:47 PM -----

From: Cheryl Mackay/DC/USEPA/US
To: "Woods, Clint" <Clint.Woods@mail.house.gov>, Tara.Rothschild@mail.house.gov
Cc: Arvin Ganesan/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Laura Vaught/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 06/08/2012 01:03 PM
Subject: response to letter from Chairman Harris requesting CSAPR data

Clint and Tara,

Please see the attached. The first attachment is our cover letter. The remaining attachments consist of data sets from Harvard and NYU. Please let me know if you have questions.

Thank you for your patience.

Cheryl

Cheryl A. Mackay
U.S. EPA
Office of Congressional Relations
tel: (202) 564-2023
fax: (202) 501-1550

(See attached file: Harris CL.pdf)

*(See attached file: Response from Harvard Univ.pdf)(See attached file:
harvard_6cities_0309121.xlsx)*

*(See attached file: NYU letter 03 29 12.pdf)(See attached file: ACS82_98.xlsx)(See attached file:
SO4.FINAL.xlsx)*

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 3/19/2013 5:14:24 PM
Subject: RE: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Thanks for this. I'll circulate internally, t

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, March 19, 2013 11:33 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hey Arvin,

Attached are our edits. Let us know if you have any questions and just a reminder that (b)
(b) (5)

Thanks!

Jessica

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 1:58 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Menter, Jessica
Subject: FW: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hi Kris and Jess,

See the attached proposed response to Vitter on Reg Agenda.

Thoughts or edits?

Thanks.

From: Kime, Robin
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2013 12:44 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Goo, Michael
Subject: Draft Vitter Response & Incoming Letter

Hi,

Attached is the incoming letter and most current draft response. Just let me know if you need anything else.

To: Jessica Menter[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Cc: Gardner, Monee[gardner.monee@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wed 2/27/2013 4:38:13 PM
Subject: Re: DoL letter

+ monee. Can you give jess the fax number and grab the fax, monee?

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 11:04:58 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: DoL letter

Hey Arvin, what's your fax number again? I'm going to (b) (5)
(b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 27, 2013 8:40 AM
To: Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: DoL letter

Hi kris and jess,
After our call on the (b) (5)
(b) (5)

Thanks.

To: Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 2/21/2013 4:40:58 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sounds good. Can you propose?

The call in is:

[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Code: 2 [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Thanks.

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:39 AM
To: Vaught, Laura; Ganesan, Arvin; Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)



From: Vaught, Laura [mailto:Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:33 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sure.

From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:32 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sure. (b) (5)

(b) (5). Laura, I know you're in the Labs meeting at 1230, think you can join us at say 1245?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:48 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Thanks Arvin! Would it be possible to stay on the line at the end of the call to briefly discuss (b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:30 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Look forward to chatting at 1230.

Call in: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are

there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:>] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:(b) (6)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM

To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess

Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.


From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM

To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

 Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson

Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST

To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught

Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>

To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM

Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Sarri, Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Vaught, Laura [Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 2/21/2013 4:40:24 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the body of the email.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:39 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the body of the email.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 11:32 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sure. (b) (5)

(b) (5) Laura, I know you're in the Labs meeting at 1230, think you can join us at say 1245?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:48 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Thanks Arvin! (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:30 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Look forward to chatting at 1230.

Call in: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Code:

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short

notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Sarri,
Kristen [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Vaught, Laura [Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 2/21/2013 4:31:34 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sure. (b) (5)

(b) (5) Laura, I know you're in the Labs meeting at 1230, think you can join us at say 1245?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:48 AM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Thanks Arvin! (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 21, 2013 9:30 AM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Look forward to chatting at 1230.

Call in:

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Code:

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call
to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference
line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Thur 2/21/2013 2:29:51 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Look forward to chatting at 1230.

Call in: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM

To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6)

but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:> **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests


What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

 Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.](#)

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.](#)

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After

receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Jessica Menter[**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Cc: Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 8:26:48 PM
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

My mistake. That works for me. We'll talk at 1230 tomorrow. Same call in. Ill send something around

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 3:23:32 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

1230 works for us at OMB tomorrow. Want me to try and find other times?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 3:22 PM
To: Menter, Jessica
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Would you mind wrangling people on times that work on your end? You guys can't do 1230, right?

From: Toomajian, Phil [mailto:**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:46 PM
To: Lue, Thomas; Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Likewise.

From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [[mailto:](#) **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)
(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) Would it be possible to move the call
to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference
line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [[mailto:](#) **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests


What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

 Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson

Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST

To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught

Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez

Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>

To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM

Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson

Energy & Environment Reporter

POLITICO

cell: 571-235-0423

@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM

Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar

February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these

concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 8:22:16 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Would you mind wrangling people on times that work on your end? You guys can't do 1230, right?

From: Toomajian, Phil [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:46 PM
To: Lue, Thomas; Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Likewise.

From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5.

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.](#)

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.](#)

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.](#)

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Lue, Thomas [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Menter, Jessica [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Kristen Sarri [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Jessica A. Maher [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L. [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin [Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura [Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin [Kime.Robin@epa.gov]; Toomajian, Phil [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Wed 2/20/2013 7:49:43 PM
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ok. Will get back on timing.

From: Lue, Thomas
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:40:04 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin; Toomajian, Phil
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

+ Phil T (WHCO)

12:30 works for me. Many thanks.

From: Menter, Jessica
Sent: Wednesday, February 20, 2013 2:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

All, my apologies but a meeting was scheduled that prevents OMB from being on the call. Are there times tomorrow that work for everyone? Does 1230 work? So sorry again for the short notice.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:56 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the bottom portion of the email body, starting below the subject line and extending to the bottom of the page.

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5. (b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [<mailto:> Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Note: As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need

assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 8:55:40 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

How about 3 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:54 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Are there any other times that work? Looks like 1, 3 or 330 are all we have over here now.

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:51 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.; Minoli, Kevin
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5.

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

Would it be possible to move the call

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM

To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM

To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM

To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess

Cc: Vaught, Laura

Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson

Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency’s (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Sarri, Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Lue, Thomas[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Strom, Shayna L.[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Minoli, Kevin[Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 8:51:03 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Adding Kevin Minoli, from our GC's office. Hopefully, he's available tomorrow at 5.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 3:34 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess; Lue, Thomas; Strom, Shayna L.
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5)

Would it be possible to move the call to either 11-1130, 3 or 330?

(b) (5)

Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura; Kime, Robin
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy code: Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy](#)]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (6) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Kristen_J_Sarri@omb.eop.gov]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.

From: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:36 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Sarri, Kristen
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Its the doc about the unified reg agenda

▼ Alisha Johnson

----- Original Message -----

From: Alisha Johnson
Sent: 02/14/2013 05:04 PM EST
To: Arvin Ganesan; Laura Vaught
Cc: Victoria Rivas-Vazquez
Subject: Fw: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

----- Forwarded by Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US on 02/14/2013 05:04 PM -----

From: Erica Martinson <EMartinson@politico.com>
To: Alisha Johnson/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, David Bloomgren/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Cathy Milbourn/DC/USEPA/US@EPA, Enesta Jones/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 02/14/2013 04:17 PM
Subject: FW: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could you guys fill me in/ provide a response on the agency's plans for responding to Vitter's letters?

Thanks,

Erica Martinson
Energy & Environment Reporter
POLITICO
cell: 571-235-0423
@EricaMartinson

From: Republicans, EPW (EPW) [mailto:EPW_Republicans@epw.senate.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 14, 2013 4:09 PM
Subject: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

***Note:** As this email account is for outgoing messages only, please do not reply to this address. If you need assistance, please contact luke_bolar@vitter.senate.gov*

For Immediate Release Contact: Luke Bolar
February 14, 2013 (202) 224-4623

Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(Washington, D.C.) – U.S. Sen. David Vitter (R-La.), top Republican on the Environment and Public Works Committee, today made the following statement regarding Assistant Administrator for the Environment Protection Agency's (EPA) Office of Air and Radiation, Gina McCarthy.

“With Lisa Jackson’s resignation, I want to know who will respond to my outstanding request of one year and nine months regarding the scientific methods used to base the EPA’s regulatory agenda,” said Vitter. “Assistant Administrator McCarthy is directly responsible for these concerns, and the failure to respond is not a good sign. The administration should be looking for someone who will end the standard of ignoring congressional requests, undermining

transparency and relying on flawed science. Instead, it looks like they may double down on that practice.”

Currently, EPA has yet to respond to three requests from Sen. Vitter. Vitter cites three specific requests directly under McCarthy’s jurisdiction that have not been answered. Links to his letters are below.

[Click here to read the June 30, 2011 Vitter, Inhofe letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson on their system to assess human health risks, particularly in relation to PM and ozone NAAQS.

[Click here to read the August 23, 2012 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Freedom of Information Officer Larry Gottesman regarding Al Armenderez and the EPA’s decision to deny permits for the Las Brisas Energy Center in Corpus Christie, Texas.

[Click here to read the January 23, 2013 Vitter letter](#) to EPA Administrator Lisa Jackson for EPA not meeting timely publication of the Unified Agenda.

-30-

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

This Email message contained an attachment named
image001.jpg
which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could
contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers,
network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced
into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments
sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you
should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name
extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After
receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can
rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at
(866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

***** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED *****

To: Menter, Jessica[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] Sarri
Kristen[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]; Maher, Jess[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Cc: Vaught, Laura[Vaught.Laura@epa.gov]; Kime, Robin[Kime.Robin@epa.gov]
From: Ganesan, Arvin
Sent: Tue 2/19/2013 6:24:46 PM
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

We'll be bringing Michael Goo from our Office of Policy in, so how about we use my conference line? [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy], code: [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]

Does that work?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:24 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

5pm works. Would you like us to call you? If so, what's the best number. Thanks!

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:23 PM
To: Menter, Jessica; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Could we do 5 pm?

From: Menter, Jessica [mailto:[Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:21 PM
To: Ganesan, Arvin; Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

How does tomorrow around 4 or 430 work?

From: Ganesan, Arvin [mailto:Ganesan.Arvin@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2013 1:18 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica; Vaught, Laura
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

(b) (5) but when would be a good time for us to talk about this?

thanks

From: Sarri, Kristen [mailto:Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 5:03 PM
To: Maher, Jess; Ganesan, Arvin
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: Re: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Sorry, I meant Jess Menter

From: Maher, Jess
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 04:50 PM
To: Sarri, Kristen; Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov <Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov>
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

What? Who am I working with?

From: Sarri, Kristen
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Ganesan.Arvin@epamail.epa.gov; Maher, Jess
Cc: Menter, Jessica
Subject: RE: Release: Vitter Wants Transparency from Gina McCarthy on Previous Air Requests

Jess, can you work with Arvin to set on phone call to discuss along with relevant folks.